### Woo Law Office RECEIVED USPTO CENTRAL FAX CENTER

#### MAY 2 0 2008

1,			U.S. Pa				PTO/SB/21 (02-04) pugn 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE	
Under the Par	enwork Reduction Act of 199	5. по регкол	s are required to respond to a colle Application Number	ection of inf	ormation un	iless It dis	. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE plays a valid OMB control number.	
TRANSMITTAL				10/66	10/665,514			
FORM			Filing Date	Septe	September 22, 2003			
			First Nemed Inventor	Mariu	Marius Hauri			
(to be used for all correspondance after initial filing)		Art Unit	3767	3767				
			Examiner Name		Witczak, Catherine			
Total Number of Pages in This Submission		3	Attorney Docket Number 0100/0165		0165	5		
		ENCL	OSURES (Check all th	hat apply)	,			
Amendment Afte Afte Afte Afte Afte Afte Afte Aft	er Final davits/declaration(s) of Time Request pandonment Request Disclosure Statement opy of Priority s) o Missing Parts/		Drawing(s)  icensing-related Papers  retition retition to Convert to a rovisional Application ower of Attorney, Ravocation change of Correspondence Add erminal Disclairner equest for Refund D, Number of CD(s)		A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	o Techno appeal Co of Appeal appeal Co appeal No proprietar tatus Ler tatus Ler	losure(s) (please	
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT								
Firm or Individual name  Louis Woo Law Office of Louis Woo								
Signature	Signature							
Date Way 20, 2008								
	CE	RTIFICA	TE OF TRANSMISSION	N/MAII	NG			
I hereby certify that to sufficient postage as the date shown balo	his correspondence is be first class mail in an env	ing faccimi	le transmitted to the USPTO o			United 5	States Postal Service with ndria, VA 22313-1450 on	
Typed or printed name Patricia Howe		/	,					
Signature	Patricia	Hou				Date	5-20 48	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the Individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademerk Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS, SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

# RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER MAY 2 0 2008

### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Marius HAURI et al.

Serial No. 10/665,514

Filed: September 22, 2003

For: SAFETY NEEDLE ASSEMBLY

AND METHOD FOR MAKING

THE SAME

Art Unit: 3767

Examiner: Witczak, Catherine

Atty Docket: 0100/0165

## RESPONSE and REQUEST TO REINSTATE THE APPEAL BRIEF

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is filed to: (1) toll the three month period for responding to the latest Office Action dated April 15, 2008, (2) make of record the telephone conversation between the examiner and the undersigned in light of the latest Office Action, and (3) request that the appeal process be continued and that the Appeal Brief filed on January 16, 2008 be reinstated. The facts for this Response and Request To Reinstate The Appeal are as follows:

- 1. An Appeal Brief was filed on January 16, 2008 to appeal the final rejections set forth in the Office Action dated August 24, 2007.
- Applicants received an alleged new final rejection Office Action dated April 15,
   2008 reopening the prosecution of the Instant case. In reviewing the April 15 Office Action,
   it was found that this latest Office Action is identical to the Office Action dated August 24,

**2**003

2007 against which the Appeal was filed. As an aside, the April 15, 2008 Office Action would have been improper for reopening the prosecution of the case insofar as it is a final rejection office action.

- 3. The undersigned spoke with the examiner on April 16, 2008. According to the examiner, a new Office Action will be sent out, as the examiner believes that the wrong Office Action was sent out. The examiner also informed the undersigned not to worry about the three-month response period for the latest Office Acton, since the latest Office action was wrongly sent, and that there must be something wrong with the PAIR system. The examiner went on to state that the new Office Action will not be sent out until the week of April 28, 2008, since her art unit will be in San Francisco the week of April 21.
- 4. Not receiving any new communication from the examiner and finding that there was no new entry in the PAIR system for the instant case, the undersigned left a message with the examiner on May 8, 2008 requesting her to let the undersigned know the status of this case. As of the date of this Response, the undersigned have not heard from the examiner.

In view of the foregoing, the undersigned hereby requests the reinstatement of the Appeal Brief filed on January 16, 2008. As the latest final rejection Office Action of April 15, 2008 is identical to the final rejection Office Action dated August 24, 2007, It is believed that there is no need to resubmit the previously filed Appeal Brief, for no new issues were raised. However, if such re-submission is required, the undersigned should be so notified.

Respectfully submitted.

Louis Woo, Reg. No. 31,730 Law Offices of Louis Woo

717 North Fayette Street Alexandria, Virginia 22314

Phone: (703) 299-4090

Date: Way 20, 2008